

UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/911,104	08/12/9/	GULLBERG	L.	1568

| 007812 | SMITH-HILL AND BEDELL | 12670 N W BARNES ROAD | SUITE 104 | PORTLAND OR 97229 PMC2/0316

EXAMINER KIM, H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/16/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/911,104

Appineant(s)

Gullberg

Examiner

Harry C. Kim

Group Art Unit 3629



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 12, 1997	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19.	35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
☑ Claims 1-13	
Application Papers ☑ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	No(s)
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial No. 08/911,104 -2-

Art Unit 3629

DETAILED ACTION

As a matter of information, the Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to **Art Unit 3629**.

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I. Fig. 1; and

II. Fig. 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1 and 6 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Serial No. 08/911,104

Art Unit 3629

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Harry C. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-2248.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Tech Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

HCK March 11, 1999

HARRY C. KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER TECH CENTER 3600